BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Again	inst:)	
Cassandra Lynn-Herbert Whitmore	, M.D.)	Case No. 800-2015-013048
Physician's and Surgeon's)	
Certificate No. A 68131)	· .
Respondent)	•
		•

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on January 10, 2018.

IT IS SO ORDERED December 11, 2017.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Bv:

Kristina D. Lawson, J.D., Chair

Panel B

1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California JANE ZACK SIMON	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
. 3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
. 3	Brenda P. Reyes Deputy Attorney General		
4	State Bar No. 129718 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004		
6	Telephone: (415) 703-5541 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8		RE THE DOE CALIFORNIA	
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		1	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-013048	
12	CASSANDRA WHITMORE, M.D.		
13	1550 Gateway Blvd. Fairfield, CA 94533	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
15	No. A 68131		
16	Respondent.		
		.	
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters a	re true:	
20	PAF	RTIES	
21	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant)	t) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
22	of California (Board). She brought this action s	olely in her official capacity and is represented in	
23	this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General	of the State of California, by Brenda P. Reyes,	
24	Deputy Attorney General.	•	
25	2. Respondent Cassandra Whitmore, N	M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this	
26	proceeding by attorney Thomas E. Still, Esq., whose address is: Hinshaw, Marsh, Still &		
27	Hinshaw, LLP, 12901 Saratoga Avenue, Saratoga, CA 95070-9998.		
28	111		
		•	

3. On or about April 16, 1999, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 68131 to Cassandra Whitmore, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2015-013048, and will expire on October 31, 2018, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2015-013048 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on November 3, 2016. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2015-013048 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-013048. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2015-013048, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 10. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up her right to contest those charges.
- 11. Respondent agrees that her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

A. PUBLIC REPRIMAND

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 68131 issued to Respondent Cassandra Whitmore, M.D., shall be and hereby is publically reprimanded

pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 2227, subdivision (a)(4). This public reprimand, which is issued in connection with Respondent's conduct as set forth in Accusation No. 800-2015-013048, is as follows:

You departed from the standard of care with regard to the care and treatment of Patients P-1, P-2, and P-3 during 2012 – 2014, pursuant to Business and Professions Code sections 2234(c) and 2266.

B. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

Failure to successfully complete the educational program or course outlined above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

1//

 \parallel ///

3 4 5

C. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the approved course provider with any information and documents that the approved course provider may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

Failure to successfully complete the educational program or course outlined above shall constitute unprofessional conduct and grounds for further disciplinary action.

///

///

///

///

///

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Thomas E. Still, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Cassandra Whitmore, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: October 11, 2017 Respectfully submitted,

> XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California JANE ZACK SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General

BRENDA P. REYES

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SF2016201200

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2015-013048

		·		
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California			
2	JANE ZACK SIMON Supervising Deputy Attorney General	FILED		
3	Brenda P. Reyes Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
4	State Bar No. 129718 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO LOGI. 3 20 /C		
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5541	ANALYST ANALYST		
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5341 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant			
7	Anorneys for Complanam			
8	.	RE THE		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	STATE OF C	LALITORIVIA		
. 11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2015-013048		
12	CASSANDRA WHITMORE, M.D.	ACCUSATION		
13	1550 Gateway Blvd. Fairfield, CA 94533			
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 68131,			
15	Respondent.			
16	Respondent			
17				
18	Complainant alleges:			
19	<u>PAF</u>	RTIES		
20	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant	t) brings this Accusation solely in her official		
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer			
22	Affairs (Board).			
23	2. On or about April 16, 1999, the Med	dical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
24	Certificate Number A 68131 to Cassandra Whit	more, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and		
25	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effec	t at all times relevant to the charges brought hereir		
26	and will expire on October 31, 2018, unless ren	ewed.		
27	///			
28	111			
		1		

(CASSANDRA WHITMORE, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2015-013048

7

10 11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - Section 2004 of the Code states, in relevant part:
 - "The board shall have the responsibility for the following:
- "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
 - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- "(c) Carrying out of disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practices carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board."
- Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
 - Section 2234 of the Code states, in relevant part:
- "The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

"(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropria	ate
for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.	

- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care."
- 7. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- 8. At all times relevant to this matter, Respondent was licensed and practicing medicine in Fairfield, California.

PATIENT P-1¹

9. Patient P-1 is a 58-year- old woman with diagnoses of, among other things, chronic migraines, fibromyalgia, depression, and anxiety. Respondent assumed care of P-1 as her primary care physician in April 2004. P-1 participated in the Kaiser Permanente Chronic Pain Management Program ("Pain Program") from May 2002 to May 2004. When P-1 left the Pain Program, she was on a regimen of Methadone, 240 mg a day; lorazepam, 1 mg a day (0.5 mg twice a day); fluoxetine; tizanidine; and injections of hydromorphone and carisoprodol, 5 as needed.

¹ The patients are designated in this document as Patients P-1 through P-3 to protect their privacy. Respondent knows the names of the patients and can confirm their identity through discovery.

²Methadone is an opioid medication. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section 4022 and a Schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055 of the Health and Safety Code. Methadone is used as a pain reliever and as part of drug addiction detoxification and maintenance programs.

³ Lorazepam (trade name Ativan) is a benzodiazepine. It is a sedative used to treat anxiety. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022, and a Schedule IV controlled substance. Since lorazepam has a central nervous system (CNS) depressant effect, special care should be taken when prescribing lorazepam with other CNS depressant drugs.

⁴ Hydromorphone (trade name Demerol) is an opioid analgesic. It is a Schedule II controlled substance and narcotic and a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022. Hydromorphone can produce drug dependence and, therefore, has the potential for being abused. (continued...)

	10.	From approximately August 17, 2012 through December 27, 2012, Respondent
pres	cribed	Methadone, 290 mg a day (70-75 mg every 6 hours) for P-1's chronic pain along with
morj	phine s	sulfate immediate release, up to 30 mg a day; hydrocodone/APAP ⁶ 10/325,
appr	oxima	tely 60 mg a day; carisoprodol, 4-350 mg tablets a day; and, lorazepam.

- 11. On December 27, 2012, Respondent prescribed 300 Methadone 10 mg tablets for P-1 and 150 morphine sulfate SR (sustained release) tablets 60 mg, both long-acting opioid analgesics. In or about January 2013, Respondent prescribed morphine sulfate sustained release tablets for P-1 instead of Methadone. By March 27, 2013, she was prescribing 16 tablets of 60 mg morphine sulfate sustained release tablets a day along with short-acting morphine sulfate for P-1's migraine headaches, hydrocodone/APAP for flares of P-1's fibromyalgia, carisoprodol, and lorazepam.
- 12. On April 11, 2014, P-1 complained to Respondent by telephone that she had received better analgesia with Methadone and requested to switch back. Respondent discontinued the morphine sulfate SR without a taper and started P-1 back on Methadone at a dose of 60 mg every 6 hours.
- 13. On April 17, 2014, P-1 complained of being lethargic and sleeping all day on the Methadone and asked to resume taking long-acting morphine again. She said that while Methadone controlled her pain better, she did not have the Methadone side effects on morphine. Respondent discontinued the Methadone and restarted morphine sulfate SR without assessing the goals of this revised therapy.

(...continued)

It has a central nervous system depressant effect.

⁵ Carisoprodol (trade name Soma) is a muscle relaxant and sedative. Carisoprodol is a Schedule II controlled substance and a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022. Using carisoprodol together with hydrocodone may increase side effects such as dizziness, drowsiness, confusion, and difficulty concentrating.

⁶ Hydrocodone bitartrate with acetaminophen or hydrocodone/APAP (trade names Norco, Vicodin, Lortab) is an opioid analgesic. It is a Schedule II controlled substance and narcotic and is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022. Hydrocodone can produce drug dependence and, therefore, has the potential for being abused. It has a CNS depressant effect. The strength of a tablet is indicated by mg of hydrocodone/mg of acetaminophen, e.g., 5/500 reflects 5 mg of hydrocodone and 500 mg of acetaminophen. The maximum 24 hour dosage of acetaminophen should not exceed 4000 mg. At high levels, acetaminophen can cause liver toxicity and even death.

- 14. On April 3, 2015 Respondent replaced hydrocodone/APAP with oxycodone/APAP,⁷ a more potent pain reliever, with no documentation as to the reasoning. On this date, Respondent prescribed 240 5/325 mg tablets. On April 15, 2015, P-1 requested a change from hydrocodone/APAP to oxycodone/APAP because she felt she was not taking anything at all. Twelve days after prescribing 240 oxycodone tablets, Respondent prescribed another 120 tablets at the stronger 10/325 mg strength. By May 28, 2015, the oxycodone was changed back to hydrocodone, again without documentation as to the reasoning.
- 15. Respondent's medical records for Patient P-1 from October 17, 2013 through October 14, 2015—when she began to taper P-1's pain medications—do not reflect any periodic review or monitoring of P-1's pain requirements, no assessment of progress toward treatment goals or examination of side effects or assessment of the potential for diversion with urine drug testing or pill counting. On May 28, 2015, Respondent documented that she had discussed pain management with P-1, including the possibility of hyperalgesia due to high dose narcotics over many years and she encouraged a pain management referral, but, she continued to prescribe high levels of narcotics.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence, Repeated Negligent Acts/Failure to Maintain Adequate Records)

- 16. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct and subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b) and/or (c), and section 2266 of the Code in that Respondent was grossly negligent and/or committed repeated negligent acts, and she failed to maintain adequate records in the practice of medicine, including but not limited to the following:
- A. Respondent failed to periodically review goals, adverse effects, and adherence in a patient on high doses of a long acting opioid, numerous short acting opioids, along with benzodiazepine and carisoprodol without appropriate documentation.

⁷ Oxycodone with acetaminophen or oxycodone/APAP (trade names Percocet, Endocet, Roxicet) is a short-acting opioid whose principal therapeutic action is analgesia. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055 of the Health and Safety Code. It is a more potent pain reliever than hydrocodone.

- B. On April 11, 2014, after more than a year off of Methadone, Respondent switched P-1 from morphine sulfate SR back to Methadone without tapering the morphine; she restarted P-1 on 360 mg of Methadone a day although, because of Methadone's long and variable half-life, the starting dose should not have exceeded 40 mg a day; and, she did not address the goals of the revised therapy.
- C. Respondent prescribed morphine for P-1's migraines although opiates are not effective for acute migraine treatment and can contribute to medication overuse headache, potentially exacerbating the symptoms; and, she prescribed hydrocodone APAP for "flares" of fibromyalgia although strong opiates are not indicated for fibromyalgia.

PATIENT P-2

- 17. Patient P-2 is a 57-year-old woman with diagnoses of, among other things, chronic pelvic pain, fibromyalgia, and depression. She began treating with Respondent in March 2003. P-2 participated in the Kaiser Permanente Pain Program from January 2002 to December 2004.
- During 2012 through 2014 Respondent prescribed Methadone, hydromorphone, and diazepam⁸ for Patient P-2. Medical records for P-2 document, and Respondent stated at her February 3, 2016 Medical Board interview, that Patient P-2 missed many of her scheduled appointments with Respondent. The records further document that many of P-2's appointments were by telephone and that she was frequently notified that her prescriptions were ready for pick up without being seen. On July 22, 2014, it was noted in P-2's medical record by another physician at Kaiser that P-2 had not seen her primary care physician, Respondent, for over a year and had not had a urine drug screen while continuing to receive controlled substances.

PATIENT P-3

19. Patient P-3 is a 65-year-old man with multiple diagnoses including, among other things, spinal stenosis, sleep apnea, and depression with a history of multiple suicide attempts. P-

⁸ Diazepam (trade name Valium) is a benzodiazepine. It is a psychotropic drug used for the management of anxiety disorders or for the short-term relief of the symptoms of anxiety. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a Schedule IV controlled substance.

3 began treating with Respondent in May 2003. He participated in the Kaiser Permanente Pain Program from February 2000 to November 2007.

- 20. P-3 attempted suicide in March 2014 followed by a psychiatric hospitalization. He attempted suicide again in or about July 2014, was hospitalized again, and then transferred to a psychiatric facility where he was weaned off of the clonazepam⁹ and Methadone that Respondent had been prescribing for him. Prior to P-3's second suicide attempt, Respondent had been prescribing Methadone 60 mg a day and clonazepam 1 mg. in the morning and 2 mg in the evening.
- 21. Shortly after the second hospitalization, P-3 asked to go back on clonazepam and Methadone. After consulting with the Pain Clinic, Respondent obtained P-3's agreement to attend the intensive outpatient program and she had P-3 sign a pain contract. On August 19, 2014, Respondent resumed prescribing Methadone and clonazepam for P-3 at the doses he had been taking prior to his suicide attempt rather than at usual starting doses.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Repeated Negligent Acts)

22. Respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct and subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code in that Respondent has committed repeated negligent acts in the practice of medicine including, but not limited to, the conduct alleged in her treatment of Patient P-1, above, and the following:

A. Respondent continued to prescribe controlled substances to Patient P-2 at her usual doses despite P-2 routinely failing to make follow up appointments over an extended period of time to permit Respondent to evaluate the benefits of her treatment of Patient P-2, any adverse side effects of the medications prescribed, and P-2's adherence to the treatment plan.

⁹ Clonazepam is a benzodiazepine used to treat certain seizure disorders and panic disorder. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022 and a Schedule IV controlled substance.